As a first step, Mr. Robert Snowden, the Head of School, met with several parents and students to hear their concerns. The concerns expressed related to favouritism

Mr. Sanderson's report was released on August 17, 2012. He concluded that there had been no

some of the evidence was based on perceptions and conclusions without providing any factual basis for the perception or conclusion."

"Secondly, ...it is apparent that the process of [parents] obtaining statements from students have tainted the objectivity and reliability of their evidence."

"Thirdly, the statements tendered with the complaint included insertions of fact that were inconsistent and irreconcilable."

"Often the evidence available was insufficient ... if there is no specific evidence of conduct that is in breach of one or more of the Standards, there is no reasonable prospect that a panel would make an adverse finding."

"There is an allegation of favouritism, but little evidence was offered to support the allegation, beyond the perception of a small group of students and parents."

"There is considerable evidence that most students and parents did not observe anything wrongful or inappropriate ..."

"There is no evidence before me which supports an inference that [a SMUS staff

administration have spent countless hours being interviewed and investigated. In every instance, SMUS and the faculty members named